## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 03-11586-RWZ

BEN DOE, et al.

٧.

## **BOSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

May 21, 2004

ZOBEL, D.J.

Plaintiffs brought suit under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act ("IDEA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(3)(B), to recover attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred in administrative proceedings before the Massachusetts Department of Education, Bureau of Special Education Appeals ("BSEA"). They assert that they are "prevailing" parties. Defendant has moved to dismiss.

Although the parties agreed to telescope the administrative proceedings and to accept an "advisory" opinion, all participants, including the hearing officer, treated the result as an enforceable decision. Indeed, when defendant failed to do its part to effectuate the ruling, the hearing officer enforced it. In addition, the hearing officer determined that plaintiffs "would prevail" as a result of her "advisory" opinion. This case was not settled as was <a href="Doe v. Boston Public Schools">Doe v. Boston Public Schools</a>, 358 F.3d 20 (1st Cir. 2004). Rather, it fits squarely within the standard of <a href="Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W.Va. Dep't of Health and Human Res.">Doe v. Boston Public Schools</a>, 532 U. S. 598 (2001). The parties advocated different schools for plaintiff Ben Doe. The hearing officer resolved the dispute in

plaintiff's favor. Plaintiff thereby gained	rights that the hearing officer then vindicated
and the decision materially altered the p	parties' legal relationship.
The motion to dismiss is according	ngly denied.
DATE	/s/ Rya W. Zobel RYA W. ZOBEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE